

# THE IMPORTANCE MARKOV CHAIN



samovar



## Institut Mines-Télécc

### Auteurs

Charly ANDRAL Randal DOUC Hugo MARIVAL **Christian ROBERT** 

### **① FRAMEWORK**

**Goal.** Sample « according » to a *target distribution*  $\pi$  on X that does not work well with MCMC algorithms.

**Idea.** Transform a sample  $(\tilde{X}_k)_{k=1..K}$  from an *instrumental distribution*  $\tilde{\pi}$  into a sample  $(X_i)_{i=1..I}$  distributed under  $\pi$ .

#### Known techniques and drawbacks.

- *Rejection sampling* : need to know a constant M such that  $\pi \leq M\tilde{\pi}$ .
- Importance sampling: doesn't give a « real » sample but just a weighted sample used to compute estimates.

Markov chain approach. Instrumental and target samples do not have to be i.i.d. but can be generated by Markov chains targetting  $\pi$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  resp.

**Notations.** *Q* : Markov kernel targetting  $\tilde{\pi}$ ,  $\rho_{\kappa} = \kappa \frac{d\pi}{d\tilde{\pi}}$  density ratio,  $\kappa$  : tuning param.

### **② PRESENTATION OF THE IMC**

#### **REJECTION MC**

**Constraint.** Constant *M* such that  $\pi \leq M\tilde{\pi}$ ... Problem if such a const. *M* does not exist, is unknown or is too large leading to excessive rejection rate.

### **③ THE IMC ALGORITHM**

#### EXTENDED MARKOV CHAIN

Setup. Add remaining repetition counter as second component and extract the sample from the first component at the end.

**Property.** Extended chain  $(X_i, N_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is markovian with transition kernel :

$$Ph(x,n) = \mathbb{1}_{\{n \ge 1\}} h(x,n-1) + \mathbb{1}_{\{n=0\}} \sum_{n' \ge 1} \int_{\mathbb{X}} S(x,dx') \frac{\tilde{R}(x',n'+1)}{\rho_{\tilde{R}}(x')} h(x',n')$$

where  $\rho_{\tilde{R}}(x') = \tilde{R}(x, [1:\infty)).$ 

Interpretation. While the counter is nonzero, decrease it by one. When it reaches zero :

- Use transition kernel S ensuring transitions from one *accepted* point to another
- Use *conditionned* transition kernel  $R(x, n) = \frac{\tilde{R}(x, n+1)}{\rho_{\tilde{R}}(x)}$  taking into account that we only draw accepted points i.e.  $\tilde{N} \geq 1$ .

#### **ALGORITHM AND MAIN RESULTS**

**INIT.** Set an arbitrary  $\tilde{X}_0$  and i = 0.

REPEAT.

1. Draw  $\tilde{X}_k \sim Q(\tilde{X}_{k-1}, \cdot)$  and  $\tilde{N}_k \sim \tilde{R}(\tilde{X}_k, \cdot)$ 

**Algo.** Perform the rejection algorithm on a sample  $(\tilde{X}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  generated by Q with acceptance function  $\rho = \rho_M$ .



**Output.** A rejection chain  $(X_i)_{i=1..I}$ , generated by the submarkovian rejection kernel S defined, for  $h \in F_+(X)$ , by:

$$Sh(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{Q} \left[ h(\tilde{X}_{k})\rho(\tilde{X}_{k}) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1-\rho(\tilde{X}_{k})) \right]$$

#### **HEURISTICAL IMC**

**Idea.** Remove constraint  $\pi \leq M\tilde{\pi}$  i.e. allowing  $\rho_{\kappa} \geq 1$  using repetitions in conjunction with the Rejection MC algorithm.





**Choice of**  $\tilde{R}$ . The optimal choice for  $\tilde{R}$  in terms of variance reduction is the *shifted* Bernouilli :

$$\tilde{R}_{opt}(x,\cdot) = (1 - \{\rho_{\kappa}(x)\})\delta_{\lfloor \rho_{\kappa}(x) \rfloor} + \{\rho_{\kappa}(x)\}\delta_{\lfloor \rho_{\kappa}(x) \rfloor + 1}$$

A **MH algorithm** with proposal A(x, dy) and acceptance rate  $\alpha(x, y)$  targetting  $\pi$  can be obtained from the IMC by taking  $\tilde{R}(x,\cdot) = Geom(p(x))$  where  $p(x) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \alpha(x, y) A(x, dy)$ .

### **④ NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS**

#### 2. Set $N_i = \widetilde{N}_k$ 3. While $N_i \ge 1$ : $(X_i, N_i) \leftarrow (\tilde{X}_k, N_i - 1)$ ; $i \leftarrow i + 1$ ;



**Proposition [Invariant measure].** *P* admits an invariant probability measure  $\bar{\pi}$ , which has  $\pi$  as its first marginal. Moreover,  $\overline{\pi}$  is unique (under mild additional conditions).

**Theorem [SLLN].** If *Q* admits a SLLN starting from any intital distribution, i.e. for any  $\xi \in M_1(\mathbb{X})$  and g measurable function such that  $\tilde{\pi}(g) < \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g(\tilde{X}_k) = \tilde{\pi}(g), \qquad \mathbb{P}^Q_{\xi} - a.s$$

Then *P* also admits a SLLN starting from any initial distribution on  $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem [CLT].** Assume that Q admits a solution to the Poisson equation associated to  $\rho_{\kappa}(h - \pi(h))$ . Then, under mild additional assumptions on  $\tilde{R}$ , the kernel *P* admits a CLT for *h*, i.e. there exists a constant  $\sigma^2(h) > 0$  and a distribution  $\chi$ , easily expressed using  $\xi$ , and corresponding to the distribution of the first accepted couple, such that,

$$n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(h(X_i) - \pi h) \leadsto^{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(h)), \qquad \mathbb{P}^P_{\chi} - law.$$

Theorem [Geometric ergodicity]. Assume a specific set is a small set for Q and a drift condition on that set for a function V. Then there exist constants  $\delta$ ,  $\beta_r > 1$  and  $\zeta < \infty$  such that for all  $\xi' \in M_1(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{N})$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1} \delta^k d_{TV}(\xi P^k, \bar{\pi}) \leq \zeta \int_{\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{N}} \beta_r^n V(x) \xi'(dxdn)$$

**IMPROVED SAMPLER** 

Question. Can IMC improve the effictiveness of a sampler targetting a multimodal distribution by using it on an instrumental smoothened version of the latter distrib. ?

**Setup.** We target  $\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, I_d)$  an unnormalized gauss. mix., with  $\mu_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10^2 I_d)$ • d = 5, n = 6

- $\tilde{\pi} = \pi^{\beta}$  for  $\beta \in (0,1)$  and  $(x,\cdot) = \tilde{\pi}(\cdot)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{X}$
- Q is a No-U-turn Sampler (NUTS) and  $\tilde{R}$  is a shifted Bernouilli kernel We estimate the MSE of  $\pi$  by running 200 chains for each  $\beta \in \{0,004,0,01,0,04,0,1,1\}$

β	0.004	0.010	0.040	0.100	1.000
MSE	16.150	6.123	0.544	17.863	33.982

#### INDEP. IMC VS. INDEP. MH ALGORITHM

**Setup.** We target  $\pi = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}(0,4) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}(0,4)$  using :

- $\tilde{\pi} = \mathcal{N}(0,4)$
- $Q(x,\cdot) = \tilde{\pi}(\cdot)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{X}$
- $\tilde{R}$  a shifted Bernouilli kernel
- $N_{iterations} = 100,000$



Analysis. Both histograms of the chain look similar, but autocorrelation suggests better mixing for IMC. Also fewer max repetitions for IMC (reminder : geometric distrib. for MH).

$\bigcap$	X	$X^2$	$X^3$	$X^4$
MH	6.20e-03	2.33e-02	1.49e+00	$\frac{1.57e + 01}{7.18e + 00}$
IMC	3.49e-03	9.74e-03	8.40e-01	

Table. MSE for the first 4 moments for  $10^4$  repetitions of chains of length  $10^4$ .

Journée doctorants SAMOVAF

hugo.marival@telecom-sudparis.eu Contact